arXiv:1004.1334v2 [math.NA] 21 Apr 2011

PERTURBED ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS FOR INTERIOR-LAYER SOLUTIONS OF A SEMILINEAR REACTION-DIFFUSION PROBLEM WITH SMALL DIFFUSION [*]

NATALIA KOPTEVA[†] AND MARTIN STYNES[‡]

Abstract. A semilinear reaction-diffusion two-point boundary value problem, whose second-order derivative is multiplied by a small positive parameter ε^2, is considered. It can have multiple solutions. An asymptotic expansion is constructed for a solution that has an interior layer. Further properties are then established for a perturbation of this expansion. These are used in [6] to obtain discrete sub-solutions and super-solutions for certain finite difference methods described there, and in this way yield convergence results for those methods.

Key words. semilinear reaction-diffusion problem, interior layer

AMS subject classifications. Primary 34E05, Secondary 65L10, 65L11, 65L12.

1. Introduction.

We are interested in interior-layer solutions of the singularly perturbed semilinear reaction-diffusion boundary-value problem

$$Fu(x) \equiv -\varepsilon^2 u''(x) + b(x,u) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0,1), \tag{1.1a}$$

$$u(0) = g_0, \;\; u(1) = g_1, \tag{1.1b}$$

where ε is a small positive parameter, $b \in C^\infty([0,1]\times\mathbb{R})$, and g_0 and g_1 are given constants.

Under the hypotheses that are stated below, this problem can have multiple solutions that exhibit interior-layer behaviour. A companion paper [6] discusses numerical methods for its solution. In this present report we shall present some of the details that are omitted from [6].

The reduced problem of (1.1) is defined by formally setting $\varepsilon = 0$ in (1.1a), viz.,

$$b(x,\varphi) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0,1). \tag{1.2}$$

Assume that the reduced problem (1.2) has three simple roots $\varphi = \varphi_k \in C^\infty[0,1]$ for $k = 0,1,2$:

$$b(x,\varphi_k(x)) = 0 \qquad \text{for } \; k=0,1,2 \; \text{ and } \; x\in[0,1] \tag{$A1$}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(x) < \varphi_0(x) < \varphi_2(x) \quad \text{for } x\in[0,1] \\ \text{and there is no other solution of (1.2) between } \varphi_1 \text{ and } \varphi_2. \end{cases} \tag{$A2$}$$

Here and subsequently, numbering such as ($A1$) indicates an *assumption* that holds true throughout the paper. Assume also that

$$b_u(x,\varphi_k(x)) > \gamma^2 > 0 \qquad \text{for } \; k=1,2 \; \text{ and } \; x\in[0,1] \tag{$A3$}$$

---

[*] This research was supported by Science Foundation Ireland grant 04/BR/M0055. The first author was also supported by Science Foundation Ireland grant 08/RFP/MTH1536.

[†] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland (natalia.kopteva@ul.ie)

[‡] Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Cork, Ireland (m.stynes@ucc.ie)

but

$$(A4) \qquad b_u(x,\varphi_0) < 0 \qquad \text{for } \ x \in [0,1].$$

Assumption $(A3)$ says that $\varphi_1(x)$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ are stable reduced solutions, i.e., one may have a solution u of (1.1) that is very close to either φ_1 or φ_2 on some subdomain of $(0,1)$. Assumption $(A4)$ means that the solution $\varphi_0(x)$ is unstable: no solution of (1.1) lies close to φ_0 on any subdomain of (0,1). Under the hypotheses $(A1)$–$(A4)$, the equation (1.1a) is often described as bistable.

Our further assumption is that the equation $\int_{\varphi_1(x)}^{\varphi_2(x)} b(x,v)\,dv = 0$ has a solution $x = t_0$ such that $\frac{d}{dx}\left[\int_{\varphi_1(x)}^{\varphi_2(x)} b(x,v)\,dv\right]\big|_{x=t_0} \neq 0$, i.e., this root is simple. As in many asymptotic analysis papers, we also assume that the value of this derivative is negative, since this sign corresponds to the Lyapunov stability of an interior-layer solution $u(x)$ of (1.1) that switches from φ_1 to φ_2 when u is regarded as a steady-state solution of the time-dependent parabolic problem $v_t - \varepsilon^2 v_{xx} + b(x,v) = 0$ (see [1, Section 7, Remark 3]; if instead the derivative were positive, this would correspond to Lyapunov stability of an interior-layer solution that switches from φ_2 to φ_1). By Assumption $(A1)$ these hypotheses on the integrals of b are equivalent to the assumptions

$$(A5) \qquad \int_{\varphi_1(t_0)}^{\varphi_2(t_0)} b(t_0,v)\,dv = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{\varphi_1(t_0)}^{\varphi_2(t_0)} b_x(t_0,v)\,dv = -C_I < 0.$$

Similar conditions are assumed in [8, §4.15.4], [9, §2.3.2] and also in [2, 7] for an analogous two-dimensional problem and [4] for a analogous system of equations.

Remark 1.1. *Assumption $(A2)$ can be relaxed to allow other roots of (1.2) between φ_1 and φ_2 provided that $\int_{\varphi_1(t_0)}^{v} b(t_0,s)\,ds > 0$ for all $v \in (\varphi_1(t_0),\varphi_2(t_0))$. Note that this inequality follows immediately from $(A1)$–$(A5)$ if φ_0 is the only reduced solution between φ_1 and φ_2.*

The solutions φ_1 and φ_2 of (1.2) do not in general satisfy either of the boundary conditions in (1.1b). In order to focus on interior layers, we exclude boundary layers by assuming that

$$(A6) \qquad \varphi_1(0) = g_0, \qquad \varphi_2(1) = g_1, \qquad \varphi_1''(0) = \varphi_2''(1) = 0.$$

Under Assumptions $(A1)$–$(A6)$, the problem (1.1) has a solution that, roughly speaking, lies in the neighbourhood of $\varphi_1(x)$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ for $x \in [0,t_0)$ and $x \in (t_0,1]$ respectively (see [6, Corollary 6.7]). Near $x = t_0$ the solution switches from φ_1 to φ_2, which results in an interior transition layer of width $O(\varepsilon|\ln\varepsilon|)$.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 an asymptotic expansion of a interior-layer solution of (1.1) is constructed; this analysis draws on ideas of [3, 5, 7, 9]. A modified version of this asymptotic expansion, related to [7], is examined in Section 3. This modified expansion is used in [6] to construct discrete sub-solutions and super-solutions for the numerical methods used in that paper to solve (1.1).

Notation. Throughout the paper, $C, C', \bar{C}$ and $\bar{C}'$, sometimes subscripted, denote generic positive constants that are independent of ε and of the mesh; furthermore, $\bar{C}$ and $\bar{C}'$ are taken sufficiently large where this property is needed. These constants may take different values in different places. Notation such as $f = O(z)$ means $|f| \le Cz$ for some C.

2. Asymptotic expansion for the continuous problem. The point $t_0 \in (0,1)$ is fixed by Assumption $(A5)$. Define the stretched variable ξ by

$$\xi := (x - t_0)/\varepsilon.$$

Then a standard calculation shows that the zero-order interior-layer term $V_0(\xi)$ of the asymptotic expansion of u is given by a solution of the following problem:

$$-\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2}V_0 + b(t_0, V_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad V_0(-\infty) = \varphi_1(t_0), \;\; V_0(\infty) = \varphi_2(t_0). \tag{2.1a}$$

We shall see shortly that (2.1a) has a solution $V_0(\xi)$, but this solution is not unique as $V_0(\xi \pm C)$ is also a solution for any constant C. Once we know that V_0 exists and is a strictly increasing function, consider a specific solution $\hat{V}_0$ of (2.1a) subject to the parametrization

$$\hat{V}_0(0) = \varphi_2(t_0). \tag{2.1b}$$

One might expect $u(x) = \varphi_0(t_0)$ to hold at $x = t_0$ and thus the interior layer to be described by $\hat{V}_0(\xi)$. It is not the case, however; as we shall see below, $u(x) = \varphi(t_0)$ at $x = t = t_0 + \varepsilon t_1 + \varepsilon^2 t_2 + \cdots$, and the interior layer is described by $\hat{V}_0(\xi - t_1 - \varepsilon t_2 - \cdots)$. Here $t_1, t_2, \ldots$ are independent of ε and can be found when constructing an asymptotic expansion of u, in particular, the values of t_1 and t_2 are specified in the proof of Lemma 2.4. In our analysis, we take $t = t_0 + \varepsilon t_1 + \varepsilon^2 t_2$, skipping higher-order terms, and invoke a perturbed version of $\hat{V}_0(\xi - t_1 - \varepsilon t_2)$ defined by

$$V_0(\xi; p) = \hat{V}_0(\xi - \bar{t}_1 + p), \qquad \bar{t}_1 = t_1 + \varepsilon t_2. \tag{2.1c}$$

Here the parameter p satisfies $|p| \le p^*$ for any fixed positive constant p^*, but will typically take very small values.

LEMMA 2.1. *Set $\bar{\gamma}^2 := \min_{k=1,2} b_u(t_0, \varphi_k(t_0)) > \gamma^2$, where $\gamma > 0$ is from $(A3)$. For any constant $\bar{t}_1$ and all $|p| \le p^*$, there exist unique monotone solutions $\hat{V}_0(\xi)$ and $V_0(\xi; p)$ of (2.1a) that satisfy (2.1c), (2.1b). Furthermore, $\hat{V}_0$ and V_0 are in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\hat{\chi}(\xi) := \tfrac{d}{d\xi}\hat{V}_0(\xi) > 0, \qquad \chi(\xi; p) := \tfrac{d}{d\xi}V_0(\xi; p) > 0 \qquad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.2}$$

For any arbitrarily small but fixed $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\gamma})$, there is a constant C_λ such that

$$\hat{\chi}(\xi) + \chi(\xi; p) \le C_\lambda e^{-(\bar{\gamma} - \lambda)|\xi|} \qquad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; |p| \le p^*. \tag{2.3}$$

There are constants C' and C'' such that for all $|p| \le p^*$ one has

$$C'\chi \le V_0 - \varphi_1(t_0) \le C''\chi \;\text{ for } \xi < 0, \qquad C'\chi \le \varphi_2(t_0) - V_0 \le C''\chi \;\text{ for } \xi > 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. In view of $(A1)$–$(A5)$, these properties follow from the proof of [3, Lemma 2.1] or a slight extension of the proof of [5, Lemma 2.1] using phase-plane analysis. □

An interior-layer solution u of problem (1.1) can be regarded as having a boundary layer at t_0 on each of the subintervals $[0, t_0]$ and $[t_0, 1]$. Therefore we shall construct standard second-order boundary-layer asymptotic expansions for u on each of these sub-intervals. As $u(t_0)$ is unknown, we impose the condition $u_{\rm as}(t_0) = V_0(0; p)$, or equivalently $u_{\rm as}(t_0) = \hat{V}_0(-t_1 - \varepsilon t_2 + p)$. Here t_1 and t_2 will be chosen in Lemma 2.4 to match the two asymptotic expansions at $x = t_0$, while varying the parameter p will be used in the construction of sub- and super- solutions. We partly follow the asymptotic analyses of [9, Section 2.3.2] and [7, Section 3], where the location of the interior layer was outlined in the form of an asymptotic expansion $t = t_0 + \varepsilon t_1 + \varepsilon^2 t_2 + \cdots$. Our asymptotic analysis differs from these earlier works in that we expand about the point t_0 instead of about the point t (which is a priori unknown); this is useful in the subsequent numerical analysis because our layer-adapted mesh will be centred on the known point t_0.

As $u_{\rm as}(t_0) = V_0(0;p)$, the resulting asymptotic expansion $u_{\rm as}(x) = u_{\rm as}(x;p)$ will also involve the parameter p as follows:

$$u_{\rm as}(x;p) := u_0(x) + \varepsilon^2 u_2(x) + v_0(\xi;p) + \varepsilon v_1(\xi;p) + \varepsilon^2 v_2(\xi;p). \tag{2.5}$$

Here the smooth component $u_0 + \varepsilon^2 u_2$ is defined by

$$u_0(x) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \varphi_1(x), & x \in [0, t_0), \\ \varphi_2(x), & x \in (t_0, 1], \end{array} \right. , \qquad u_2(x) := u_0''/b_u(x, u_0), \tag{2.6}$$

so that $F[u_0 + \varepsilon^2 u_2] = O(\varepsilon^4)$ for $x \in [0,1] \setminus \{t_0\}$.

To describe the layer component $v_0 + \varepsilon v_1 + \varepsilon^2 v_2$, we make use of the auxiliary function

$$B(x,s) := b(x, u_0(x) + s), \tag{2.7}$$

which is clearly discontinuous at $x = t_0$. By (A2), we have $\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} B(x,0) = 0$ for all $x \neq t_0$, which implies that

$$|\tfrac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} B(x,s)| \le C|s| \qquad \text{for } \; x \in [0,1] \setminus \{t_0\}, \;\; s \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; m = 0, 1, 2. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, we use the notation

$$\hat{t}_0 = \hat{t}_0(x) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} t_0^-, & x \in [0, t_0) \\ t_0^+ & x \in (t_0, 1] \end{array} \right. ; \tag{2.9}$$

thus, e.g., $u_0(\hat{t}_0) = \varphi_1(t_0)$ and $B(\hat{t}_0, s) = b(t_0, \varphi_1(t_0) + s)$ for $x < t_0$, while $u_0(\hat{t}_0) = \varphi_2(t_0)$ and $B(\hat{t}_0, s) = b(t_0, \varphi_2(t_0) + s)$ for $x > t_0$.

The zero-order boundary-layer function $v_0(\xi) = v_0(\xi;p)$ is defined by

$$-\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2} v_0 + B(\hat{t}_0, v_0) = 0, \quad v_0(0^\pm) = V_0(0;p) - u_0(t_0^\pm), \quad v_0(\pm\infty) = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Comparing this with (2.1a), we see that

$$v_0(\xi;p) = V_0(\xi;p) - u_0(\hat{t}_0). \tag{2.11}$$

Higher-order boundary-layer components $v_1(\xi) = v_1(\xi;p)$ and $v_2(\xi) = v_2(\xi;p)$ and defined by

$$[-\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + B_s(\hat{t}_0, v_0)]\, v_1 = -\xi B_x(\hat{t}_0, v_0), \quad v_1(0) = v_1(\pm\infty) = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\begin{gathered} [-\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + B_s(\hat{t}_0, v_0)]\, v_2 = \psi_2(\xi), \quad v_2(0^\pm) = -u_2(t_0^\pm), \quad v_2(\pm\infty) = 0, \\ \psi_2(\xi) := -\tfrac{\xi^2}{2} B_{xx}(\hat{t}_0, v_0) - \xi v_1 B_{xs}(\hat{t}_0, v_0) - \tfrac{v_1^2}{2} B_{ss}(\hat{t}_0, v_0) \\ -u_2(\hat{t}_0)[B_s(\hat{t}_0, v_0) - B_s(\hat{t}_0, 0)]. \end{gathered} \tag{2.13}$$

The functions v_1 and v_2 depend on p since they are defined using $v_0(\xi; p)$.

Note that v_0 and v_2 have a discontinuity at $\xi = 0$, but $u_0 + v_0 = [u_0(x) - u_0(\hat{t}_0)] + V_0$ and $u_2 + v_2$ are continuous at $x = t_0$. Thus $u_{\rm as}(x; p)$ is continuous for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Given any suitable function $v(x)$, introduce the functional

$$\Phi[v(\cdot)] := \varepsilon \tfrac{dv}{dx}\big|_{x=t_0^-} - \varepsilon \tfrac{dv}{dx}\big|_{x=t_0^+} \,,$$

which will be used to match our asymptotic expansion at $x = t_0$; see Lemma 2.4.

To establish the existence and properties of v_1 and v_2, note that (2.12) and (2.13) are particular cases of a general problem

$$(2.14)\qquad [-\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + B_s(\hat{t}_0, v_0)]\, \nu = \psi(\xi) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad \nu(0^\pm) = \nu_0^\pm, \quad \nu(\pm\infty) = 0,$$

for which we have the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $|\psi(\xi)| \le C(1 + |\xi|^k)\, \chi(\xi)$. *Then there exists a solution* ν *of problem (2.14), which satisfies* $|\nu(\xi)| \le C(1 + |\xi|^{k+1})\, \chi(\xi)$ *and*

$$(2.15)\qquad \Phi[\nu] = \nu'(0^-) - \nu'(0^+) = \tfrac{1}{\chi(0)} \Big(- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(\xi)\, \chi(\xi)\, d\xi + [\nu_0^- - \nu_0^+]\, \chi'(0) \Big).$$

Furthermore, if $\psi(\xi) \ge 0$ *and* $\nu^\pm \ge 0$, *then* $\nu(\xi) \ge 0$ *for all* ξ.

Proof. The desired assertions follow from the explicit solution formula

$$\nu(\xi) = \chi(\xi) \int_\xi^0 \chi^{-2}(\eta) \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \chi(t)\, \psi(t)\, dt\, d\eta + \tfrac{\nu_0^-}{\chi(0)}\, \chi(\xi) \qquad \text{for } \xi < 0,$$

$$\nu(\xi) = \chi(\xi) \int_0^\xi \chi^{-2}(\eta) \int_{\eta}^{\infty} \chi(t)\, \psi(t)\, dt\, d\eta + \tfrac{\nu_0^+}{\chi(0)}\, \chi(\xi) \qquad \text{for } \xi > 0,$$

which is obtained by variation of parameters noting that, by (2.1a), (2.2), the function χ satisfies $-\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2}\chi + \chi B_s(\hat{t}_0, v_0) = 0$; see [3, Lemma 2.2]. Now, a calculation yields (2.15) and the other assertions.

We shall show, e.g., that $|\nu| \le C(1 + |\xi|^{k+1})\, \chi$ for $\xi < 0$. As it follows from (2.4), (2.11) that $C'\chi \le v_0 \le C''\chi$, then we have $\chi^2 dt \le \tfrac{1}{C'} v_0\, dv_0 = \tfrac{1}{2C'} d(v_0^2)$ and therefore $|\psi|\chi\, dt \le C(1 + |t|^k)\, d(v_0^2)$. Thus, integrating by parts k times, one gets $|\int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \chi(t)\psi(t)\, dt| \le C\chi^2(1 + |\eta|^k)$. The desired bound follows. ☐

We shall now apply Lemma 2.2 to establish properties of v_0, v_1 and v_2.

Lemma 2.3. *For any constant* t_1 *and* t_2 *in (2.1c), there exist solutions* v_0, v_1 *and* v_2 *of problems (2.10), (2.12) and (2.13), respectively. The function* v_0 *satisfies*

$$(2.16)\qquad (\operatorname{sgn} \xi) \cdot v_0(\xi) > 0 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad |v_0(\xi)| \le C''\chi(\xi) \qquad \textit{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Furthermore, assuming that $|t_1| + |t_2| \le C$ *and* $|p| \le p^*$, *for any arbitrarily small but fixed* $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\gamma})$, *there is a constant* C_λ *such that*

$$(2.17)\qquad \big|\tfrac{d^k}{d\xi^k} v_j\big| \le C_\lambda e^{-(\bar{\gamma} - \lambda)|\xi|} \qquad \textit{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \ \ j = 0, 1, 2, \ \ k = 0, \ldots, 6.$$

Proof. The existence and properties (2.16) of the function v_0 as well the bound (2.17) for $j = 0$, $k = 0, 1$ follow from the observation (2.11) combined with (2.9) and Lemma 2.1. Next, the existence of v_1 and v_2 and the bound (2.17) for $j = 1, 2$, $k = 0$ are obtained by applying Lemma 2.2 to problems (2.12) and (2.13), in which the right-hand sides are estimated using (2.8) with $m = 1, 2$ and also $|v_0| \le C''\chi$. Similarly, the higher-order derivatives of v_0, v_1 and v_2 all satisfy problems of type (2.14) with various data, so the bound (2.17) for them is obtained by again applying Lemma 2.2. ▯

The main result of this section is as follows.

LEMMA 2.4. *For the asymptotic expansion* $u_{\mathrm{as}}(x;p)$ *from (2.5) we have*

$$F u_{\mathrm{as}}(x;p) = O(\varepsilon^3) \qquad \text{for } x \in (0,1) \setminus \{t_0\}. \tag{2.18a}$$

Furthermore, there exist values of t_1 *and* t_2 *in (2.1c), independent of* ε *and* p*, and positive constants* C_1*,* C_2 *and* $\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon^*(p^*)$ *such that for all* $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon^*$ *and* $0 < |p| \le p^*$ *we have*

$$(\mathrm{sgn} p) \cdot \Phi[u_{\mathrm{as}}(\cdot;p)] \ge C_1 \varepsilon |p| - C_2 \varepsilon^3. \tag{2.18b}$$

Proof. The relation (2.18a) is a standard outcome of the method of asymptotic expansions that was applied to generate the terms in (2.5).

To establish (2.18b), note that (2.6) implies $\Phi[u_0] = \varepsilon[\varphi_1'(t_0) - \varphi_2'(t_0)]$. As we also have $\Phi[v_0] = \Phi[V_0] = 0$ and $\Phi[\varepsilon^2 u_2] = O(\varepsilon^3)$, then

$$\Phi[u_{\mathrm{as}}] = \varepsilon[\varphi_1'(t_0) - \varphi_2'(t_0)] + \varepsilon \Phi[v_1] + \varepsilon^2 \Phi[v_2] + O(\varepsilon^3). \tag{2.19}$$

By applying (2.15) to problem (2.12), we get

$$\Phi[v_1] = \tfrac{1}{\chi(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi\, B_x(\hat{t}_0, v_0)\, \chi\, d\xi.$$

Note that $B_x(x, v_0) = b_x(x, u_0(x)+v_0) + u_0'(x)\, b_u(x, u_0(x)+v_0)$ for $x \ne t_0$. Combining this with $u_0(\hat{t}_0) + v_0 = V_0$ yields $B_x(\hat{t}_0, v_0) = b_x(t_0, V_0) + u_0'(\hat{t}_0)\, b_u(t_0, V_0)$ and thus

$$\Phi[v_1] = \tfrac{1}{\chi(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi\, b_x(t_0, V_0)\, \chi\, d\xi + \tfrac{\varphi_1'(t_0)}{\chi(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \xi\, b_u(t_0, V_0)\, \chi\, d\xi + \tfrac{\varphi_2'(t_0)}{\chi(0)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi\, b_u(t_0, V_0)\, \chi\, d\xi.$$

For the second term on the right-hand side, we note that $b_u(t_0, V_0)\chi = \frac{d}{d\xi}[b(t_0, V_0(\xi))]$, so an integration by parts yields

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} \xi\, b_u(t_0, V_0)\, \chi\, d\xi = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} b(t_0, V_0)\, d\xi = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} \chi'(\xi)\, d\xi = -\chi(0).$$

Combining this with a similar estimate for the third term, we arrive at

$$\Phi[v_1] = \tfrac{1}{\chi(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi\, b_x(t_0, V_0)\, \chi\, d\xi - [\varphi_1'(t_0) - \varphi_2'(t_0)]. \tag{2.20}$$

For the first term here, recall (2.1c) and therefore switch to the variable $\hat{\xi} = \xi - \bar{t}_1 + p$ so that the resulting integral involves the functions $\hat{V}_0$ and $\hat{\chi}$, which are independent of $\bar{t}_1$ and p, rather than $V_0(\xi;p)$ and $\chi(\xi;p)$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi\, b_x(t_0, V_0)\, \chi\, d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{\xi} + \bar{t}_1 - p)\, b_x(t_0, \hat{V}_0(\hat{\xi}))\, \hat{\chi}(\hat{\xi})\, d\hat{\xi} = C_{II} - (\bar{t}_1 - p) C_I. \tag{2.21}$$

Here

$$C_{II} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat\xi\, b_x(t_0, \hat V_0(\hat\xi))\, \hat\chi(\hat\xi)\, d\hat\xi$$

is a fixed constant, independent of $\bar t_1$ and p, and

$$C_I = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_x(t_0, \hat V_0(\hat\xi))\, \hat\chi(\hat\xi)\, d\hat\xi = -\int_{\varphi_1(t_0)}^{\varphi_2(t_0)} b_x(t_0, v)\, dv > 0$$

is a positive constant that appears in Assumption (A5). We now choose $t_1 := C_{II}/C_I$ so that $\bar t_1 = C_{II}/C_I + \varepsilon t_2$ and therefore $C_{II} - (\bar t_1 - p)C_I = (p - \varepsilon t_2)C_I$. Combining this with (2.20) and (2.21) yields

$$\Phi[v_1] = \tfrac{1}{\chi(0)}(p - \varepsilon t_2)C_I - [\varphi_1'(t_0) - \varphi_2'(t_0)].$$

Substituting this result in (2.19), we arrive at

$$\Phi[u_{\rm as}] = \varepsilon\tfrac{1}{\chi(0)}(p - \varepsilon t_2)C_I + \varepsilon^2\Phi[v_2] + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

Now, by applying (2.15) to problem (2.13), we get $\Phi[v_2] = \frac{1}{\chi(0)}[C_{III} + O(p + \varepsilon|t_2|)]$, where C_{III} equals the expression in the parentheses of formula (2.15) evaluated using the data of problem (2.13) in the case of $p = 0$ and $\bar t_1 = t_1$; thus C_{III} is independent of p and ε. Now choosing $t_2 := C_{III}/C_I$ yields

$$\Phi[u_{\rm as}] = \tfrac{1}{\chi(0)}\varepsilon C_I p + O(\varepsilon^2 p) + O(\varepsilon^3). \tag{2.22}$$

Note that there exists C' such that $\chi(0) = \hat\chi(-p + t_1 + \varepsilon t_2)$ satisfies $\frac{1}{\chi(0)} \ge C'$ for all $\varepsilon \le 1$ and $|p| \le p^*$. Thus choose $C_1 := \frac{1}{2}C_I C'$ so that $\frac{1}{\chi(0)}\varepsilon C_I \ge 2\varepsilon C_1$. Finally, by choosing ε^* sufficiently small and C_2 sufficiently large so that the O terms in (2.22) satisfy $|O(\varepsilon^2 p)| \le \varepsilon C_1|p|$ and $|O(\varepsilon^3)| \le C_2\varepsilon^3$, we establish (2.18b). □

Note that the numerical solution of problem (1.1) presents substantial difficulties and instabilities [6]. In that paper we describe a special numerical treatment for particularly small values of ε that is based on the following result:

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $\tau = \frac{C_\tau}{\bar\gamma}\,\varepsilon\ln N$ *for some* $C_\tau > 2$ *and* $N \ge 2$. *Then the asymptotic expansion* $u_{\rm as}(x;0)$ *of (2.5) can be written as*

$$u_{\rm as}(x;0) = \mathcal{U}(x,\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon\ln N + N^{-2}), \quad \mathcal{U}(x,\varepsilon) := \begin{cases} V_0(\frac{x-t_0}{\varepsilon};0), & |x - t_0| \le \tau, \\ u_0(x), & |x - t_0| > \tau. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that (2.5) immediately implies that $u_{\rm as}(x;0) = u_0(x) + v_0(\xi;0) + O(\varepsilon)$.
(i) Let $|x - t_0| \le \tau$. By (2.11), $u_{\rm as}(x;0) = V_0(\xi;0) + [u_0(x) - u_0(\hat t_0)] + O(\varepsilon)$. Here, by virtue of (2.6) and (2.9), one has $|u_0(x) - u_0(\hat t_0)| \le C|x - t_0| \le C\tau$. Consequently $u_{\rm as}(x;0) = V_0(\xi;0) + O(\tau + \varepsilon)$, which yields the desired result.
(ii) Now let $|x - t_0| > \tau$, i.e., $|\xi| > \tau/\varepsilon$. Note that (2.16) combined with (2.3) yields $|v_0| \le C''C_\lambda\, e^{-(\bar\gamma-\lambda)|\xi|}$. Choosing λ sufficiently small so that $C_\tau(1 - \lambda/\bar\gamma) \ge 2$, one gets $e^{-(\bar\gamma-\lambda)\tau/\varepsilon} \le N^{-2}$. Consequently $u_{\rm as}(x;0) = u_0(x) + O(N^{-2} + \varepsilon)$ and the desired result follows. □

3. Perturbed asymptotic expansion, sub- and super-solutions. For the numerical analysis that appears in [6] we now perturb the asymptotic expansion $u_{\rm as}(x;p)$ of (2.5) as follows: set

$$\beta(x)=\beta(x;p,p',\hat h):=u_{\rm as}(x;p)+p'\,[v_*(\xi;p)+C_0]+\hat h^2\,z(\xi;p). \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly β is a small perturbation of $u_{\rm as}$ when the parameters p' and $\hat h$ are small. In this definition, the parameter $\hat h$ is related to the mesh used in [6] as the component $\hat h^2\,z(\xi;p)$ is added to compensate for the principal part of the truncation error produced when the finite difference operators of [6] are applied to $u_{\rm as}(x,t)$. The component $p'[v_*(\xi;p)+C_0]$ is added to ensure that $({\rm sgn}p')\cdot F(u_{\rm as}+p'[v_*+C_0])\ge 0$.

The functions $v_*(\xi)=v_*(\xi;p)$ and $z(\xi)=z(\xi;p)$ used in (3.1) are defined by

$$[-\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2}+B_s(\hat t_0,v_0)]\,v_*=|v_0|,\quad \text{for } \xi\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\},\qquad v_*(0)=v_*(\pm\infty)=0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$[-\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2}+B_s(\hat t_0,v_0)]\,z=\tfrac{1}{12}\tfrac{d^4}{d\xi^4}V_0\quad \text{for } \xi\in\mathbb{R},\qquad z(0)=z(\pm\infty)=0. \tag{3.3}$$

The functions v_* and z depend on p since they are defined using $v_0(\xi;p)$ and $V_0(\xi;p)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $|p|\le p^*$ for some positive constant p^*. Then there exist solutions v_* and z of problems (3.2) and (3.3) respectively, and for any arbitrarily small but fixed $\lambda\in(0,\bar\gamma)$, there is a constant C_λ such that*

$$v_*\ge 0,\quad \big|\tfrac{d^k}{d\xi^k}v_*\big|+\big|\tfrac{d^k}{d\xi^k}z\big|\le C_\lambda e^{-(\bar\gamma-\lambda)|\xi|}\quad \textit{for }\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\},\ \ k=0,\dots,4. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, there exist positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3 and $\varepsilon^*=\varepsilon^*(p^*)$ such that for all $\varepsilon\le\varepsilon^*$ and $0<|p|\le p^*$ we have

$$({\rm sgn}p)\cdot\Phi[\beta(x;p,p',\hat h)]\ge C_1\varepsilon|p|-C_2\varepsilon^3-C_3|p'|. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. The existence and properties (3.4) of v_* and z are obtained by applying Lemma 2.2 to problems (3.2) and (3.3), respectively. Furthermore, the relation (2.15) from this lemma yields the values of $\Phi[v_*]$ and $\Phi[z]$. For $\Phi[v_*]$, using the sign property of v_0 from (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi[v_*]&=-\tfrac{1}{\chi(0)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|v_0|\chi\,d\xi=-\tfrac{1}{\chi(0)}\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{0}v_0\chi\,d\xi-\int_0^{\infty}v_0\chi\,d\xi\Big)\\&=-\tfrac{1}{\chi(0)}\big([v_0(0^-)]^2+[v_0(0^+)]^2\big)\ge -C_3\qquad\text{for all }\ |p|\le p^*.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Here, in view of (2.2), we used $v_0\chi=\frac12(v_0^2)'$ and $v_0(\pm\infty)=0$, and it was understood that $\chi(0)=\chi(0;p)$ and $v_0(0^\pm)=v_0(0^\pm;p)$. Next, for $\Phi[z]$, noting that $\frac{d^4}{d\xi^4}V_0=\chi'''$ and $\chi'=V_0''=b(t_0,V_0)$, we get

$$\Phi[z]=-\tfrac{1}{12\chi(0)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\chi'''\chi\,d\xi=\tfrac{1}{12\chi(0)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\chi''\chi'\,d\xi=\tfrac{1}{24\chi(0)}[\chi'(\xi)]\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}=0.$$

Thus $\Phi[\beta]=\Phi[u_{\rm as}]+p'\Phi[v_*]$, and combining this with (3.6) and (2.18b) yields (3.5). ∎

Lemma 3.2. *There exist positive constants C_0, C_4, p'^* and ε^* such that for all $x\in(0,1)\setminus\{t_0\}$, $\varepsilon\le\varepsilon^*$, $|p|\le p^*$, $0<|p'|\le p'^*$, the function β of (3.1) satisfies*

$$({\rm sgn}p')\cdot[F\beta-\tfrac{\hat h^2}{12}\tfrac{d^4}{d\xi^4}V_0]\ge\tfrac12 C_0|p'|\gamma^2-C_4(\varepsilon^3+\varepsilon\hat h^2+\hat h^4). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. We partly imitate the analysis of [5, Lemma 3.2]. As, by (2.18a), we have $Fu_{\rm as} = O(\varepsilon^3)$, it suffices to estimate $F\big|_{u_{\rm as}}^{\beta}$, where we use the notation $F\big|_{v}^{w} := Fw - Fv$ for any two functions v and w. Noting that for $u_{\rm as}$ of (2.5) we have $u_{\rm as} = u_0 + v_0 + O(\varepsilon)$, which, by (2.7), implies $b_u(x, u_{\rm as}) = B_s(x, v_0) + O(\varepsilon)$, we obtain

$$F\big|_{u_{\rm as}}^{u_{\rm as}+p'v_*+\hat{h}^2 z} = -p'\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2}v_* - \hat{h}^2\tfrac{d^2}{d\xi^2}z + (p'v_* + \hat{h}^2 z)[B_s(x, v_0) + O(\varepsilon + p' + \hat{h}^2)].$$

Next, using (3.2) and (3.3) for $\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2}v_*$ and $\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2}z$ and then noting that for $x \neq t_0$ we have $|B_s(x, v_0) - B_s(\hat{t}_0, v_0)| \le C|x - t_0||v_0| \le C\varepsilon$, yields

$$F\big|_{u_{\rm as}}^{u_{\rm as}+p'v_*+\hat{h}^2 z} = p'|v_0| + \tfrac{\hat{h}^2}{12}\tfrac{d^4}{d\xi^4}V_0 + [p' + \hat{h}^2]O(\varepsilon + p' + \hat{h}^2). \tag{3.8}$$

Similarly, as $b_u(x, u_{\rm as} + p'v_* + \hat{h}^2 z) = B_s(x, v_0) + O(\varepsilon + p' + \hat{h}^2)$ and $B_s(x, v_0) = B_s(x, 0) - \lambda(x)|v_0|$, where $|\lambda(x)| \le C_5$ for some C_5, we get

$$F\big|_{u_{\rm as}+p'v_*+\hat{h}^2 z}^{\beta} = F\big|_{u_{\rm as}+p'v_*+\hat{h}^2 z}^{u_{\rm as}+p'v_*+\hat{h}^2 z + C_0 p'} = C_0 p'[B_s(x, 0) - \lambda(x)|v_0| + O(\varepsilon + p' + \hat{h}^2)].$$

Combining this with (3.8) and $Fu_{\rm as} = O(\varepsilon^3)$, yields

$$F\beta - \tfrac{\hat{h}^2}{12}\tfrac{d^4}{d\xi^4}V_0 = C_0 p' B_s(x, 0) + p'|v_0|(1 - C_0\lambda(x)) + O(\varepsilon p' + p'^2) + O(\varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon\hat{h}^2 + \hat{h}^4).$$

Note that here $B_s(x, 0) = b_u(x, u_0(x)) > \gamma^2$ for $x \neq t_0$, by Assumption (A3), so $C_0|p'|B_s(x, 0) \ge C_0|p'|\gamma^2$. Now, choosing $C_0 = C_5^{-1}$ so that $(1 - C_0\lambda(x)) \ge 0$, and also p'^* and ε^* sufficiently small so that $|O(\varepsilon p' + p'^2)| \le \frac{1}{2}C_0|p'|\gamma^2$, we get the desired assertion (3.7) for some constant C_4. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p \ge 0$, $p' = C'\varepsilon p$ for some positive constant C', and $\hat{h}^2 \le C\varepsilon^\mu$ for some fixed $\mu \in (0, 1]$. Then there exists $\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon^*(C', \mu)$ such that for the function β from (3.1) we have*

$$\beta(x; -p, -p', \hat{h}) \le \beta(x; p, p', \hat{h}) \qquad \text{for } x \in [0, 1],\ \ \varepsilon \le \varepsilon^*,\ \ |p| \le p^*. \tag{3.9}$$

Furthermore, for any arbitrarily small but fixed $\lambda \in (0, \bar{\gamma})$, there is a constant C_λ such that $u_{\rm as}$ from (2.5) satisfies

$$|\beta(x; \pm p, \pm p', \hat{h}) - u_{\rm as}(x; 0)| \le C_\lambda(|p| + \hat{h}^2)e^{-(\bar{\gamma}-\lambda)|\xi|} + C\varepsilon|p|. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Fix $\hat{h}$, and consider $\tilde{\beta}(x; p) := \beta(x; p, C'\varepsilon p, \hat{h})$. As β is continuous on $[0, 1]$, to establish (3.9), it suffices to show that $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\tilde{\beta} \ge 0$ for $x \neq t_0$. First, we note that

$$\tfrac{\partial}{\partial p}v_0 = \chi, \quad |\tfrac{\partial}{\partial p}v_j| \le C(1 + \xi^{2j})\chi \ \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \quad |\tfrac{\partial}{\partial p}v_*| + |\tfrac{\partial}{\partial p}z| \le C(1 + |\xi|)\chi.$$

The relation for v_0 here follows from (2.11) and (2.2). The other relations are obtained by differentiating problems (2.12), (2.13), (3.2) and (3.3) with respect to p, which yields four problems of the type (2.14) for $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}v_{1,2}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}v_*$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}z$, respectively. By Lemma 2.2 applied to this problems, the above estimates follow. Now a calculation, using (3.1), (2.5) and $v_* \ge 0$, shows that for some constant C'' we have

$$\tfrac{\partial}{\partial p}\tilde{\beta} \ge \chi + C'\varepsilon C_0 - C''\varepsilon^\mu(1 + \xi^4)\chi.$$

By (2.3), there exists a sufficiently large constant $\bar{C}$ such that for $|\xi| \geq \xi^* := \bar{C}|\ln\varepsilon|$ we have $(1+\xi^4)\chi \leq \frac{C'C_0}{C''}\varepsilon^{1-\mu}$, which implies $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\tilde{\beta} \geq 0$ for $|\xi| \geq \xi^*$. Otherwise, if $|\xi| \leq \bar{C}|\ln\varepsilon|$, then $C''\varepsilon^{\mu}(1+\xi^4) \leq C\varepsilon^{\mu}(1+|\ln\varepsilon|^4) \leq 1$, provided that ε^* is sufficiently small; thus we again get $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\tilde{\beta} \geq 0$. Thus we have proved (3.9).

Similarly, one has $|\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\tilde{\beta}| \leq C(1+\xi^4)\chi + C_0\varepsilon C'$. Combining this with $u_{\mathrm{as}}(x;0) = \beta(x;0,0,\hat{h}) - \hat{h}^2 z = \tilde{\beta}(x;0) - \hat{h}^2 z$ and exponential-decay estimates from (2.3) and (3.4), we get the remaining desired estimate (3.10). ☐

In this section we established the properties (3.5), (3.7) and (3.9) of the function $\beta = \beta(x;p,p',\hat{h})$ that are used in [6] to construct discrete sub- and super-solutions.

REFERENCES

[1] V. F. Butuzov, A. B. Vasil′eva, and N. N. Nefedov. Asymptotic theory of contrast structures (a survey). *Avtomat. i Telemekh.*, 7:4–32, 1997. (translation in Automat. Remote Control 58 (1997), no. 7, part 1, 1068–1091).

[2] P. Fife and W. Greenlee. Interior transition layers for elliptic boundary value problems with a small parameter. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 29(4 (178)):103–130, 1974.

[3] P. C. Fife. Semilinear elliptic boundary value problems with small parameters. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 52:205–232, 1973.

[4] P. C. Fife. Boundary and interior transition layer phenomena for pairs of second-order differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 54(2):497–521, 1976.

[5] N. Kopteva and M. Stynes. Numerical analysis of a singularly perturbed nonlinear reaction-diffusion problem with multiple solutions. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 51(2-3):273–288, 2004.

[6] N. Kopteva and M. Stynes. Stabilised approximation of interior-layer solutions of a singularly perturbed semilinear reaction-diffusion problem. 2010. (Submitted for publication).

[7] N. N. Nefedov. The method of differential inequalities for some classes of nonlinear singularly perturbed problems with internal layers. *Differ. Uravn.*, 31(7):1142–1149, 1268, 1995.

[8] A. B. Vasil′eva and V. F. Butuzov. *Asimptoticheskie razlozheniya reshenii singulyarno- vozmushchennykh uravnenii [Asymptotic expansions of the solutions of singularly perturbed equations]*. Izdat. “Nauka”, Moscow, 1973. (Russian).

[9] A. B. Vasil′eva, V. F. Butuzov, and L. V. Kalachev. *The boundary function method for singular perturbation problems*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1995.